1 | 2 | en | 3 | Pl | 4 | En | 5 | Fo | 6 | (c | 7 | Do

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

entered into by and among the following parties, by and through their undersigned attorneys: Plaintiff John Solak ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Solak"), individually and derivatively on behalf of Ring Energy, Inc. ("Ring" or the "Company"); defendants Lloyd T. Rochford, Kelly Hoffman, David Fowler, Stanley McCabe, Anthony B. Petrelli, Regina Roesener, and Clayton E. Woodrum (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"); and nominal defendant Ring (with the Individual Defendants, "Defendants"). Plaintiff and Defendants are collectively referred to herein as the "Settling Parties" and each individually as a "Settling Party."

This Stipulation of Compromise and Settlement ("Stipulation"), dated May 25, 2022, is

This Stipulation is intended by the Settling Parties to fully, finally, and forever compromise, resolve, discharge, and settle all claims in the Released Claims against the Released Persons and dismiss the Derivative Action with prejudice, upon the terms set forth below and subject to the approval of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.1(c).<sup>1</sup>

### I. BACKGROUND

WHEREAS, Ring is a natural gas company incorporated in Nevada and headquartered in The Woodlands, Texas focused on the acquisition, exploration, and development of high-quality, oil and liquids rich assets in the Permian Basin of Texas and New Mexico;

WHEREAS, the Individual Defendants are comprised of current and former members of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Ring;

WHEREAS, on July 19, 2019, Plaintiff filed a shareholder derivative complaint (the "Complaint") in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging, *inter alia*, that the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, were unjustly enriched, and wasted the Company's assets by compensating themselves at an excessive level in comparison to its peers in years 2017 through 2020, and violated Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, by including false and/or misleading information related to director compensation in the Company's proxy statements. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff

 $<sup>\</sup>parallel$ 

All capitalized terms not otherwise defined are defined in Section II.1 herein.

alleged that certain directors and officers of Ring breached their fiduciary duty, were unjustly enriched, wasted corporate assets, and violated Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Action");

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2019, Defendants moved to dismiss the Complaint, and the Defendants' motion was granted by the Court on April 14, 2020;

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2020, Plaintiff filed an amended shareholder derivative complaint (the "Amended Complaint) alleging, *inter alia*, that the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, were unjustly enriched, and wasted the Company's assets by compensating themselves at an excessive level in comparison to its peers in years 2017 through 2020, and violated Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, by including false and/or misleading information related to director compensation in the Company's proxy statements. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff asserted all of the same claims as were initially raised in the Action;

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2020, Defendants moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint, and the Defendants' motion was granted by the Court on September 3, 2020;

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2020, Mr. Solak filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's dismissal of the Amended Complaint, which the Court granted on December 18, 2020;

WHEREAS, on February 18, 2021, Defendants filed an Answer to the Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, on March 3, 2021, the Settling Parties participated in Court-ordered mediation with Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb, without reaching a settlement;

WHEREAS, between March 12, 2021 and February 2022, the parties engaged in substantial discovery, including: (i) demanding and responding to numerous written discovery requests; (ii) defendants' production of 3,724 documents; (iii) noticing and preparing for depositions of all defendants; and (iv) briefing and arguing a motion to compel;

WHEREAS, during the course of the Action, Plaintiff asserts that Ring reconstituted its Board, brought on new officers, and made several changes to its corporate policies, practices, and disclosures, including: (i) updating the reported compensation in Ring's Director Compensation

Tables; (ii) reducing the number of potentially conflicted and/or non-independent members of the Board; (iii) adopting a "say-on-pay" advisory shareholder vote on executive compensation; and (iv) awarding non-director grants with lower reported values than those addressed in the Amended Complaint (collectively "Corporate Governance Reforms");

WHEREAS, Ring acknowledges that Plaintiff contends the aforementioned Corporate Governance Reforms were informed, in part, by the Action;

WHEREAS, while at the time this Action was commenced, Plaintiff believed grounds existed for a shareholder derivative action based on the Company's then-current director compensation practices, policies, and disclosures, he now believes the Corporate Governance Reforms undertaken by Ring adequately address his concerns and moot the need to pursue further relief through litigation;

WHEREAS, the Company and the Board expressly deny any wrongdoing and deny that grounds exist giving rise to a meritorious shareholder derivative action relating to the conduct, acts, or omissions alleged in the Amended Complaint, enter into this Stipulation for the sole purpose of resolving this Action without any admission of any wrongdoing;

WHEREAS, notwithstanding the Settling Parties' respective views on the merits and/or the likelihood of success of a shareholder derivative action concerning the matters raised in the Amended Complaint, the Settling Parties desire to dispose of all potential claims, whether in equity or in law, and whether such claims are known or unknown, which have arisen at any time prior to the execution of this Stipulation (the "Effective Date").

### II. TERMS OF STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among Plaintiff (individually on behalf of himself and derivatively on behalf of Ring) and Defendants, each by and through their respective counsel, subject to the approval of the Court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.1(c), that in exchange for the consideration set forth below, the Released Claims shall be and hereby are compromised, settled, discontinued, and dismissed with prejudice, as to all Settling Parties, and the Derivative Action shall be dismissed with prejudice as to the Defendants, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein as follows:

### 1. Definitions

As used in this Stipulation, the following terms have the meanings specified below:

- 1.1. "Court" means the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.
- 1.2. "Effective Date" means the date by which all of the events and conditions specified in Section II.6.1 herein have been met and have occurred.
- 1.3. "Execution Date" means the date upon which all Settling Parties have executed this Stipulation.
- 1.4. "Final Judgment" means the Order and Final Judgment entered by the Court dismissing this Derivative Action with prejudice, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit C.
- 1.5. "Final" means the expiration of all time to seek appeal or other review of the Final Judgment, or if any appeal or other review of such Final Judgment is filed and not dismissed, after such Final Judgment is upheld on appeal in all material respects and is no longer subject to appeal, reargument, or review. For the avoidance of doubt, a modification or reversal on appeal of any amount awarded pursuant to the Fee and Expense Application shall not constitute a material change.
- 1.6. "Notice" means the Notice of Pendency of Settlement of Action, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit B.
- 1.7. "Person" means a natural person, individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, government, or any political subdivision or agency thereof, any business or legal entity, and any spouse, heir, legatee, executor, administrator, predecessor, successor, representative, or assign of any of the foregoing.
- 1.8. "Plaintiff's Counsel" means Newman Ferrara LLP, and The O'Mara Law Firm, P.C.
- 1.9. "Released Claims" means and includes any and all claims for relief or causes of action, debts, demands, rights, liabilities, losses, and claims whatsoever, known or unknown, fixed

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

or contingent, accrued or unaccrued, liquidated or unliquidated, at law or in equity, matured or unmatured, suspected or unsuspected, apparent or unapparent, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts, that have been or could have been asserted by Plaintiff as a stockholder of Ring, or any other Ring stockholder, or any other Person acting or purporting to act derivatively on behalf of Ring against the Released Persons, in the Derivative Action or in any other forum arising out of, or based upon, any of the allegations, transactions, facts, matters, events, disclosures, non-disclosures, occurrences, representations, statements, acts or omissions, alleged or referred to in the Complaint, including, without limitation, (i) any compensation Ring paid to its non-employee directors from January 1, 2013, through the Effective Date, (ii) any non-employee director compensation plan, policies, or guidelines in effect at Ring from January 1, 2013, through the Effective Date, (iii) the disclosure of director compensation in the Company's proxy statements, and (iv) any decision of the Company's officers or directors related to the foregoing; provided, however, that it is understood that "Released Claims" and any release provided by this Settlement shall not include: (a) any claims to enforce the Settlement, and (b) any claims by Defendants or any other insured to enforce their rights under any contract or policy of insurance.

- 1.10. "Released Persons" means the Individual Defendants and their predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, attorneys, insurers, and each of their past or present officers, directors, and employees. "Released Persons" also includes Ring and all current and former officers, directors, or employees of Ring that could have been named in the Derivative Action.
- 1.11. "Releasing Persons" means Plaintiff (both individually and derivatively on behalf of Ring), any other Ring stockholder acting or purporting to act on behalf of Ring, and Ring. "Releasing Person" means, individually, any of the Releasing Persons.
- 1.12. "Scheduling Order" means an order scheduling a Settlement Hearing and approving the form of Notice and method of giving notice, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

28

27

2. Terms of the Settlement

1.13. "Settlement Hearing" means the hearing set by the Court to consider final approval of the Settlement.

1.14. "Unknown Claims" means any Released Claim(s) that Plaintiff or Defendants do not know of or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Claims, including without limitation those which, if known, might have affected the decision to enter into the Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims, the Settling Parties agree that upon the Effective Date, the Settling Parties and all Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have waived the provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by or under California Civil Code Section 1542, or any other law of the United States or any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to California Civil Code Section 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Settling Parties acknowledge that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those now known or believed to be true by them with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but it is the intention of the Settling Parties to completely, fully, finally, and forever compromise, settle, release, discharge, and extinguish any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or absolute, accrued or unaccrued, apparent or unapparent, which do now exist, or heretofore existed, or may hereafter exist, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts. The Settling Parties acknowledge, and the Ring stockholders shall be deemed by operation of the Final Judgment to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for and is a key element of this Stipulation of which this release is a part, and was relied upon by each and all of the Defendants in entering into the Settlement.

1 | 2 | F | 3 | ( u | 5 | m | 6 | ""

2.1. During the course of the Action, Ring implemented the Corporate Governance Reforms, which involved: (i) reconstituting the Company's Board; (ii) hiring new officers; and (iii) changing the Company's corporate policies, practices, and disclosures, including: (a) updating the reported compensation in Ring's Director Compensation Tables; (b) reducing the number of potentially conflicted and/or non-independent members of the Board; (c) adopting a "say-on-pay" advisory shareholder vote on executive compensation; and (d) awarding non-director grants with lower reported values than those addressed in the Amended Complaint.

### 3. Scheduling Order, Notice, and Approval

- 3.1. Promptly after execution of this Stipulation, the Settling Parties shall submit this Stipulation together with its exhibits to the Court, including the proposed Scheduling Order substantially in the form of Exhibit A attached hereto, requesting: (i) the approval of the manner of notice to Ring stockholders substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B; (ii) the Court's consideration of the proposed Settlement and Plaintiff's application for attorneys' fees and expenses; and (iii) a date for the Settlement Hearing.
- 3.2. Notice to current Ring stockholders shall consist of the Notice of Pendency of Settlement of Derivative Action (the "Notice"), substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, and shall be provided to Ring stockholders as follows: within fourteen (14) business days after the entry of the Scheduling Order, Ring shall mail or cause to be mailed the Notice, substantially in the form filed herewith, to all record Ring stockholders at their respective addresses currently set forth in Ring's stock records. All record holders who were not also the beneficial owners of the shares of Ring common stock held by them of record shall be requested to forward the Notice to the beneficial owners of those shares. The Company shall use reasonable efforts to give notice to such beneficial owners by: (i) making additional copies of the Notice available to any record holder who, prior to the Settlement Hearing, requests the same for distribution to beneficial owners, or (ii) mailing or causing to be mailed additional copies of the Notice to beneficial owners as reasonably requested by record holders who provide names and addresses for such beneficial holders. In addition, the Company shall use reasonable efforts to

give notice to all beneficial owners of Ring's stock by posting a copy of the Notice on the Company's website.

- 3.3. At least ten (10) business days prior to the Settlement Hearing, Defendants shall serve on counsel in the Derivative Action and file with the Court an appropriate affidavit with respect to the preparation, mailing, and public disclosure of the Notice in the manner set forth in Section II.3.2.
- 3.4. Ring shall be responsible for all costs associated with the mailing and public disclosure of the Notice. If additional notice is required by the Court, then the cost and administration of such additional notice will be borne by Ring.
- 3.5. The Settling Parties believe the content and manner of the Notice constitutes adequate and reasonable notice to Ring stockholders pursuant to applicable law and due process.
- 3.6. Pending the Court's determination as to final approval of the Settlement, Plaintiff agrees to stay this proceeding and not to initiate any other proceedings other than those incident to the Settlement itself.
- 3.7. The Settling Parties will request the Court to order (in the Scheduling Order) that, pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved by the Court, Plaintiff in the Derivative Action and all Ring stockholders are barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, instigating, or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any action asserting any Released Claim against Defendants or any of the Released Persons.
- 3.8. The Settling Parties and their attorneys agree to use their individual and collective best efforts to obtain Court approval of the Stipulation. The Settling Parties and their attorneys further agree to use their individual and collective best efforts to effect, take, or cause to be taken all actions, and to do, or cause to be done, all things reasonably necessary, proper, or advisable under applicable laws, regulations, and agreements to consummate and make effective, as promptly as practicable, the Stipulation provided for hereunder and the dismissal of the Derivative Action.

### 4. Attorneys' Fees and Expenses

14

15

18 19

21

20

23 24

25 26

27

28

- 4.1. Defendants acknowledge and agree that Plaintiff's Counsel is entitled to a fee award. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and any Order of the Court, Ring has agreed to pay an award of attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiff's Counsel of \$150,000 (the "Fee and Expense Amount") or any lesser amount permitted by the Court or the Ninth Circuit. The Fee and Expense Amount shall be paid by Ring and/or its insurers. Plaintiff's Counsel may apply for attorneys' fees and expenses only in the Court and shall make no application for attorneys' fees or expenses in any other jurisdiction. The Fee and Expense Amount shall be paid to Plaintiff's Counsel within ten (10) business days after the Court enters the Final Judgment, subject to Plaintiff's Counsel's timely provision of the requisite payment information, including wire instructions and a completed Form W-9, and obligation to refund that amount within ten (10) business days if the Settlement is reversed, vacated or modified on appeal or by collateral attack. Except as otherwise provided herein, each of the Settling Parties shall bear his, her, or its own fees and costs and neither Ring nor any other Released Person shall have any obligations with respect to Plaintiff's Counsel's fees and/or expenses beyond the Fee and Expense Amount.
- 4.2. Any failure of the Court to approve a request for the Fee and Expense Amount in whole or in part shall not affect the remainder of the Settlement.
- 4.3. No fees or expenses shall be paid to Plaintiff's Counsel pursuant to the Settlement in the absence of approval by the Court of a complete release of all Released Persons, substantially in the form of Section II.5.1 herein. This section shall be immediately binding on the Settling Parties.
- Except as provided in Section II.4 of this Stipulation, Defendants shall have no obligation to pay or reimburse any fees, expenses, costs, or damages alleged or incurred by Plaintiff, by Ring stockholders, or by their attorneys, experts, advisors, or representatives with respect to the Released Claims.

#### 5. Releases

Upon the Effective Date, the Releasing Persons shall be deemed to have, and by 5.1. operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled, released, discharged, extinguished, and dismissed with prejudice the Released Claims (including Unknown Claims)

against the Individual Defendants and each and all of the Released Persons; provided, however, that such release shall not affect any claims or impair or restrict the rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of this Stipulation.

5.2. Upon the Effective Date, the Released Persons and Ring, along with their predecessors, successors and assignees, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled, released, discharged, extinguished, and dismissed with prejudice all claims (including Unknown Claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Derivative Action against Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, and their predecessors, successors and assignees; provided, however, that such release shall not affect any claims or impair or restrict the rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of this Stipulation.

### 6. Conditions of Settlement, Effect of Disapproval, Cancellation, or Termination

- 6.1. The Settlement shall be conditioned on the occurrence of all of the following events: (a) Court approval of the Settlement, following dissemination of the Notice to Ring's stockholders and the Settlement Hearing; (b) entry of the Final Judgment in the Derivative Action approving the proposed Settlement and providing for the dismissal with prejudice of the Derivative Action and the grant of the release of the Released Claims; (c) dismissal with prejudice of the Derivative Action without the award of any damages, costs, fees, or the grant of any further relief to any party, except as provided in Section II.4.1 of this Stipulation; and (d) the expiration of the time to seek an appeal or otherwise review the Final Judgment.
- 6.2. If any of the conditions listed in Section II.6.1 are not met, this Stipulation and any Settlement documentation shall be null and void and of no force and effect. Furthermore, in the event that any of the conditions listed in Section II.6.1 are not met: the Settling Parties shall be restored to their positions on the date immediately prior to the Execution Date, this Stipulation shall not be deemed to constitute an admission of fact by any Settling Party, and neither the existence of this Stipulation, nor its contents, shall be admissible in evidence or be referred to for any purposes in the Derivative Action or in any litigation or judicial proceeding; this Stipulation shall not be deemed to entitle any Settling Party to the recovery of costs and expenses incurred in

1
 2
 3

connection with the intended implementation of the Settlement, except as provided in Section II.4 of this Stipulation; and all releases delivered in connection with this Stipulation shall be null and void.

7. Dismissal of the Derivative Action

# 7.1. If the Court approves the Settlement, the Settling Parties shall promptly request that the Court enter the proposed Final Judgment, substantially in the form attached hereto as

Exhibit C.

### 8. The Stipulation Is Not an Admission

8.1. This Stipulation reflects, among other things, the compromise and settlement of disputed claims among the Settling Parties hereto, and neither this Stipulation nor the releases given herein, nor any consideration, nor any actions taken to carry out this Stipulation, are intended to be, nor may they be deemed or construed to be, an admission or concession of liability (or lack thereof), or the validity of any claim, or defense, or of any point of fact or law on the part of any Settling Party hereto regarding those facts that have been or might have been alleged in the Derivative Action or in any other proceeding. Defendants and the Released Persons may file the Stipulation and/or Final Judgment in any action that has been or may be brought against them in order to support a claim or defense based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

### 9. Miscellaneous Provisions

- 9.1. The Settling Parties acknowledge that it is their intent to consummate the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and agree to cooperate to the extent reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement all terms and conditions of the Stipulation and to exercise their best efforts to accomplish the terms and conditions of the Stipulation expeditiously.
- 9.2. The Settling Parties agree that the terms of this Stipulation and the Settlement were negotiated in good faith by the Settling Parties and reflect a Settlement that was reached voluntarily after consultation with competent legal counsel. The Settling Parties reserve their

rights to rebut, in a manner that such Settling Party determines to be appropriate, any contention made in any public forum that the Derivative Action was brought or defended in bad faith.

- 9.3. This Stipulation shall be deemed to have been mutually prepared by the Settling Parties hereto and shall not be construed against any of them by reason of authorship.
- 9.4. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original and all of which shall constitute one and the same document. Any signature to the Stipulation by means of facsimile or electronically scanned and sent via email shall be treated in all manner and respects as an original signature and shall be considered to have the same binding legal effect as if it were the original signed version thereof.
- 9.5. All Persons executing this Stipulation thereby represent that they have been authorized and empowered to do so.
- 9.6. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel represent and warrant that none of Plaintiff's claims referred to in this Stipulation or that could have been alleged in the Derivative Action have been assigned, encumbered, or in any manner transferred in whole or in part.
- 9.7. This Stipulation embodies and represents the full agreement of the Settling Parties and supersedes any and all prior agreements and understandings relating to the subject matter hereof between or among any of the Settling Parties hereto. This Stipulation shall not be modified or amended, nor shall any provision of this Stipulation be deemed waived, unless such modification, amendment, or waiver is in writing and executed by or on behalf of the Settling Parties. The waiver by any Settling Party of any provision or the breach of this Stipulation shall not be deemed a waiver of any other provision or breach of this Stipulation.
- 9.8. If any provision of this Stipulation is held to be unlawful, invalid, or unenforceable: (i) such provision will be fully severable; (ii) this Stipulation will be construed and enforced as if such unlawful, invalid, or unenforceable provision had never comprised a part of this Stipulation; and (iii) the remaining provisions of this Stipulation will remain in full force and effect and will not be affected by the unlawful, invalid, or unenforceable provision or by its severance from this Stipulation, except that in no event will this Stipulation or any part thereof be enforceable if any

of Sections II.1.9, II.1.10, II.1.11, II.1.14, II.5.1 or II.5.2 are found to be unlawful, invalid, or unenforceable.

- 9.9. This Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Settling Parties.
- 9.10. Notwithstanding the entry of the Final Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the implementation, enforcement, and interpretation of the terms of the Stipulation. All Settling Parties submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Court (or any other state or federal court in the State of Nevada should the Court lack subject matter jurisdiction) for purposes of implementing, enforcing, and interpreting the Stipulation. With respect to such action, each Settling Party irrevocably and unconditionally: (i) consents to the personal jurisdiction in the State of Nevada; (ii) waives any objection to venue in the State of Nevada and any claim that Nevada is an inconvenient forum; and (iii) consents to service of process by registered or certified mail directed to the undersigned counsel.
- 9.11. The construction and interpretation of this Stipulation shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada and without regard to the laws that might otherwise govern under principles of conflicts of law applicable hereto.
- 9.12. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties hereto may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions in Section II.2 of the Stipulation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, it is hereby agreed by the undersigned as of the date noted above.

### Dated: May 26, 2022 THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C.

/s/ David C. O'Mara
David C. O'Mara (NV Bar 8599)
311 E. Liberty St.
Reno, NV 89501
Tel: (775) 323-1321

 $\underline{david@omaralaw.net}$ 

### Dated: May 26, 2022 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

/s/ Michael N. Feder
Michael N. Feder (NV Bar 7332)
3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 800
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Tel: (702) 550-4440
mfeder@dickinson-wright.com

Admitted Pro Hac Vice:

Admitted Pro Hac Vice:

1 2	NEWMAN FERRARA LLP Jeffrey M. Norton Benjamin D. Baker 1250 Broadway 27th Floor	COKINOS   YOUNG Cory Curtis Four Houston Center 1221 Lamar, 16th Fl.
3	1250 Broadway, 27th Floor New York, NY 10001 Tel: (212) 619-5400 <u>inorton@nfllp.com</u>	Houston, Texas 77010 Tel: (713) 535-5500 ccurtis@cokinoslaw.com
4		Attorneys for Defendants
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Auorneys for Defendants
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that I am an employee of The O'Mara Law Firm, P.C., 311 E. Liberty Street, Reno, Nevada 89501, and on this date the foregoing pleading was filed electronically via the court's ECF system which sent notification of such filing to counsel of record for all parties. DATED: May 26, 2022 /s/ Bryan Snyder BRYAN SNYDER 

## Case 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 93 Filed 05/26/22 Page 17 of 17

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	Exh No.	Description	Pages
3	A	Scheduling Order	6
4	В	Notice of Pendency of Settlement of Action	10
5	С	[Proposed] Order and Final Judgment	6
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			